

**From:** [PETERSON Jenn L](#)  
**To:** [Valerie Lee](#); [Robert.Neely@noaa.gov](mailto:Robert.Neely@noaa.gov); [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [OMEALY Mikell](#); [Jean Lee](#); [Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Benjamin Shorr](#); [Joe Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Jeremy Buck](#); [Pam Bridgen](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Eco Mtgs, try again  
**Date:** 09/05/2005 03:13 PM

---

Val,

My work schedule is Mon., Tues, and Wednesdays - if we could make the meetings on those days that would be great. If that is not possible, I can maybe make it by phone. Based on what you have outlined below, I could make Sept. 19th, but not the 16th.

-Jennifer

-----Original Message-----  
From: Valerie Lee [mailto:[valerie.lee@eilttd.net](mailto:valerie.lee@eilttd.net)]  
Sent: Fri 9/2/2005 6:24 PM  
To: Robert.Neely@noaa.gov; Eric Blischke; Chip Humphrey; OMEALY Mikell; Jean Lee; Burt Shephard; Benjamin Shorr; joe goulet; Jeremy Buck; PETERSON Jenn L; Pam Bridgen  
Cc:  
Subject: Eco Mtgs, try again

Dear all:

Taking a look at the calendar, it appears that the schedule would allow an eco advance team to meet on the 16th and a full group meeting on the 19th and I would like to suggest we do just that. Here's the thought.

During the retreat, I volunteered with Burt to compile information and create an outline of a document that would help focus the eco effort recognizing that our goal is to achieve better clarity in terms of directions to the LWG. Burt was taking a cut at an updated eco CSM and we, at EI, were going to try to compile existing information from the various approaches and TMs and also create an outline thta the group could fill in. The document would become, with the full team's work, a complete document/memorandum that outlines our approach to eco risk and would provide clear direction to the LWG on issues like -- CSM, approach to eco-risk (including use of food web, BASFs, site specific data vs. literature etc), data required to input to the approach and how these gaps would be filled. In short, it would provide clear direction to the LWG without actually taking over the risk assessment. This process presumes initial work by a small group that creates the base (in draft, with holes) from which the larger group will work.

Burt, Pam, Chris, me, Mikell and possibly Jen would be available on the 16th. Our job would be to create and discuss the draft document from which the larger group can work. Moreover, with Mikell's help we can plan the facilitated session to focus and hopefully allow the larger group to move through key issues more quickly. I suggest that we meet on the 19th with the larger group and use the materials and design developed with Mikell's help on the 16th. It's a little later than we hoped, but I actually think the advance work will speed the process.

Does this sound ok?

VAL

PS Sorry if you got this once.